

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY DISCIPLINARY FACTORS ANALYSIS WORKSHEET Determining Appropriateness of Penalty Checklist

Employee's Name:

Action Proposed and Date of Proposal:

Note: This Disciplinary Factors Analysis Worksheet must be completed and presented to the employee at the initial presentation of the Proposed Action Letter.

NOTICE

As the Proposing Official you are responsible for considering all relevant factors (listed below) in determining whether the proposed disciplinary action is appropriate. Your analysis of the factors will be considered part of the case file, and you could be asked to testify regarding your analysis, should the employee appeal the decision. Be sure to include all information that you relied upon in making your determination regarding the appropriateness of the penalty in this analysis of these factors.

INSTRUCTIONS

Each of the factors should be considered in light of the facts and circumstances presented in management's proposal letter (and supporting documents) and in the employee's reply.

For each factor, you should annotate whether the factor has been considered aggravating, mitigating, or having no impact (was neutral) in your formulating your final decision.

Write a brief explanation for each factor you determine to be aggravating or mitigating-particularly with respect to those factors you consider "aggravating."

Aggravating: to make more severe, intense, serious, worse, or grave.

Neutral: Neither a contributing nor detracting factor.

Mitigating: to make less severe, intense.

happened). Seriousness of the offense (explain how serious and why so serious):				
Nature				
Seriousness				
Aggravating N	Veutral	Mitigating		
Explanation				
2. Employee's job lev	el and typ	e of employment.		
a. Employee's Title, Se	eries, and (Grade		
b. Is the employee a su	ipervisor?		Yes	No
c. Is the employee in a	position o	f special trust?	Yes	No
d. Is the misconduct re [For example, rude to		ablic contact required by the job?	Yes	No
e. Is the misconduct di [For example, supply of	•	ted to the job? steals supplies in his or her care.]	Yes	No
Aggravating N	Veutral	Mitigating		
Explanation				

List all previous di	sciplinary ac	tions considered		
Action effected			Date	
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation				
4. Employee's pas	t work reco	rd.		
a. How long has the	e employee l	peen with the current department?		
b. How long has the	e employee b	peen with the state government?		
c. Ratings of last th	ree performa	ance appraisals.		
Last Rating	of Record			
Year Prior	Rating of Re	cord		
Two Years	Prior Rating	of Record		
d. Is current perform	mance accep	table?	Yes	No
[If no, attach cour	seling to th	is form]		
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation				
5. Effect of the off on supervisor's co		employee's ability to perform his the employee.	or her job and effect	
Did the offense affe	ect:			
- ·	-	the job? [For example, b duties if AWOL.]	Yes	No

3. Employee's past disciplinary record:

		oyee's ability to do job? [For example, the proving leave but lied on a timecard.]	Yes	No
c. Your confidence mission?	e in the empl	oyee's ability to uphold the organization's	Yes	No
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation				
6. Consistency of	penalty with	n other employees' penalties for similar offen	ses.	
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation:				
	it must be ra	Table of Penalties. [The table is only a guide; a stional, well-reasoned, and explained because distribution.		ment
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation:				
or the possibility of	f adverse pul	its impact on the agency's reputation. [Adver- olicity outside the agency that could have a neg- agency's mission is a factor that may be consider	ative impact	on the
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation:				

9. Clarity of notice	to employ	ee of unacceptable conduct.		
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation:				
10. Potential for en	nployee's r	ehabilitation.		
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		
Explanation:				
11. Mitigating circ	umstances.			
The following facto reduce (mitigate) th		cuse the misconduct; however, they may encour the employee:	age you to	
a. Was under unusu [For example, stress		s? d to the employee's insubordination.]	Yes	No
b. Was experiencing	g personal p	problems?	Yes	No
c. Was provoked? [For example, a cov punched the cowork		tened the employee before the employee	Yes	No
d. Was apologetic?			Yes	No
_		nanagement's attention? Infessed the misuse of a Government Travel	Yes	No
Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating		

Explanation:

Aggravating	Neutral	Mitigating
Explanation:		
the proposed acti	on, the evide	d impartial consideration to the circumstances surrounding ence supporting the proposed action, the employee's reply (if a factors above, I have decided to [check one]:
Sustain the	action as pro	pposed.
Reduce the	penalty to	
Offer the ex	mployee an a	alternative sanction [discuss options with Human Resources].
Cancel the	proposed act	tion in its entirety.
Additional comm	ents	
		certify that all of the information I considered in s of the proposed penalty has been included in this analysis of the have considered all relevant Disciplinary Factors.
Signature		Date

12. Adequacy of alternative sanctions to deter misconduct.